	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/680,436	MELLER, PAUL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kara E Geisel	2877
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Final</u>		
(1) Kara E Geisel.	(3)	
(2) Michael W. Kim, attorney of record.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 April 2004	Time:	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none.		
Claims discussed: 41, 61, 78		
Prior art documents discussed: none.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: amendments to the claims to put the application in condition for allowance. See Office Action.		
Part III.	•	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Laza Glisel		Signatura (f. approprieta)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative S	orginature – ir appropriate)